Facility Name: Hilbert Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting Year: 2010 6/6/2011

Influent Flow and Loading

InFluent No.701	Influent Monthly Average Flow, MGD	X	Influent Monthly Average (C)BOD Concentrat on mg.I	X i	8.34	=	Influe Month Avera BOD Loadi pound
January	0.2050	Х	400	Х	8.34	=	684
February	0.1665	Х	292	Х	8.34	=	405
March	0.3458	Х	225	Х	8.34	=	649
April	0.3198	Х	199	Х	8.34	=	531
May	0.2513	Х	254	Х	8.34	=	532
June	0.2591	Х	211	Х	8.34	=	456
July	0.3591	Х	246	Х	8.34	=	737
August	0.1779	Х	339	Х	8.34	=	503
September	0.1607	Х	382	Х	8.34	=	512
October	0.1445	Х	429	Х	8.34	=	517
November	0.1410	Х	352	Х	8.34	=	413
December	0.1428	Х	385	Х	8.34	=	458
December Maximum month des		•		X	8.34	=	458
	Design		X 9	6	=	% o	f Design
Max Month Design MGD	Flow, .326		x 9	0	=	0.29)34
			x 1	00	=	.326	
Design (C)BOD, Ib	s./day 1015		x 9	0	=	913	.5
			x 1	00	=	101	

Facility Name: Hilbert Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting Year: 2010 6/6/2011

Influent Flow and Loading (Continued)

3.	Number of times the flow and (C)BOD exceeded 90% or 100% of design, points earned, and score:									
		Months of Influent Flow	Number of times flow was greater than 90% of design	Number of times flow was greater than 100% of design	Number of times (C)BOD was greater than 90% of design	Number of times (C)BOD was greater than 100% of design				
	January	1	0	0	0	0				
	February	1	0	0	0	0				
	March	1	1	1	0	0				
	April	1	1	0	0	0				
	May	1	0	0	0	0				
	June	1	0	0	0	0				
	July	1	1	1	0	0				
	August	1	0	0	0	0				
	September	1	0	0	0	0				
	October	1	0	0	0	0				
	November	1	0	0	0	0				
	December	1	0	0	0	0				
	Points per each exceedance		2	1	3	2				
	Exceedances	rexceedance	3	2	0	0				
	Points		6	2	0	0				
	Total Number o	of Points	0	2	U	8				
	Total Number o	ir oints				0				
4.	Was the influent	flow meter calibrat	ted in the last year	?						
			•							
	_		tion date, MM/DD/	YYYY 2/2/2010						
	O No	-explain								
5.	Sewer Use Ordin	ance								
0.										
	 5.1 Did your community have a sewer use ordinance that limited or prohibited the discharge of excessive conventional pollutants ((C)BOD, SS, or pH) or toxic substances to the sewer from industries, commercial users, hauled waste, or residences? Yes No 									
	If No, please des	scribe:								

Facility Name: Hilber	t Wastewa	ter Treatment Facilit	y Las 6/6/2	t Updated: 2011	Reporting Ye	ear: 2010		
Influent Flow and Load	ling (Contin	nued)						
○ •	necessary Yes No ase describ	to enforce? pe:						
6. Septage R	eceiving							
6.1 Did yo	u have req	uests to receive septag	ge at your facility?					
Septic Ta	nks	Holding Tanks	Grease Traps					
O Yes	s • No	● Yes O No	O Yes ● No					
6.2 Did yo	u receive s	eptage at your facility?	? If yes, indicate volur	ne in gallons				
Septio	Tanks	Holding Tanks	Grease Traps]				
O Yes	s ● No	● Yes O No	O Yes ● No					
	gal	4,575800 gal	gal					
wastes		the above, please exp o the plant.	iain ii piant periormar	ice is affected w	men receiving any	of these		
7. Pretreatme	nt							
hazardous industrial o •	s situations discharges Yes No	xperience operational in the sewer system o in the last year?	r treatment plant that					
O ● If yes, des	7.2 Did your facility accept hauled industrial wastes, landfill leachate, etc? O Yes No If yes, describe the types of wastes received and any procedures or other restrictions that were in place to protect the plant from the discharge of hauled industrial wastes.							
		Total Points G	enerated		8			
		Score (100 - Total Po			92			
			onto ocheratea)		92			

Facility Name: Hilbert Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting Year: 2010 5/21/2011

Effluent Quality and Plant Performance ((C)BOD)

			Questio	ns						
1.	Monthly average effluent values, exceedances, and points for (C)BOD:									
	Outfall No.001	Monthly Average C(BOD) Limit (mg/L)	90% of Permit Limit >10 (mg/L)*	Effluent Monthly Average C(BOD) (mg/L)	Months of Discharge with a Limit	Permit Limit Exceedance	90% Permit Limit Exceedance			
	January	15	13.5	5	1	0	0			
	February	15	13.5	5	1	0	0			
	March	15	13.5	3	1	0	0			
	April	15	13.5	3	1	0	0			
	May	15	13.5	3	1	0	0			
	June	15	13.5	2	1	0	0			
	July	15	13.5	3	1	0	0			
	August	15	13.5	3	1	0	0			
	September	15	13.5	3	1	0	0			
	October	15	13.5	2	1	0	0			
	November	15	13.5	2	1	0	0			
	December	15	13.5	3	1	0	0			
			* Equals lir	mit if limit is <=	=10					
	Months of Discharge/	′yr			12					
	Points per each exce	edance with 1	2 months of dis	scharge:		7	3			
	Exceedances					0	0			
	Points					0	0			
	Total Number of Poin	ts					0			
	NOTE: For systems that discharge intermittently to waters of the state, the points per monthly exceedance for this section shall be based upon a multiplication factor of 12 months divided by the number of months of discharge. Example: For a wastewater facility discharging only 6 months of the year, the multiplication factor is $12/6 = 2.0$									
2.	If any violations occurre	ed, what action	n was taken to	regain compli	ance?					
3.	Was the effluent flow n	neter calibrate	d in the last ye	ar?						
	Was the effluent flow meter calibrated in the last year? ■ Yes - enter last calibration date, MO/DAY/YEAR: □ No - explain: □ No - explain:									

Facility	Name: Hilbert Wastewater Treatment Facility	Last Updated: 5/21/2011	Reporting Year: 2010
Effluent (Quality and Plant Performance ((C)BOD) (Continued)		
4.	What problems, if any, were experienced over the la	ast year that threatened treat	ment?
5.	Other Monitoring and Limits		
	 5.1 At any time in the past year was there an exceed metals, pH, residual chlorine, or fecal coliform? Yes No If Yes, please describe: 	edance of a permit limit for ar	ny other pollutants suchas
	5.2At any time in the past year was there an effluence of Yes No	nt acute or chronic whole effl	uent toxicity (WET) test?
	If Yes, please describe:		
	5.3If the biomonitoring (WET) test did not pass, we toxicity? ○ Yes ○ No • NA	ere steps taken to identify and	/or reduce source(s) of
	Please explain unless not applicable:		

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	Α

Facility Name: Hilbert Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: 6/4/2011

Reporting Year: 2010

Effluent Quality and Plant Performance (Total Suspended Solids)

	ally and Flant Fenomi	arroo (Total oc	Questic	,				
1.	Monthly average efflue	nt values, exc	eedances, and	points for TS	S:			
	Outfall No.001	Monthly Average TSS Limit (mg/L)	90% of Permit Limit >10 (mg/L)*	Effluent Monthly Average TSS (mg/L)	Months of Discharge with a Limit	Permit Limit Exceedance	90% Permit Limit Exceedance	
	January	20	18	6	1	0	0	
	February	20	18	7	1	0	0	
	March	20	18	5	1	0	0	
	April	20	18	4	1	0	0	
	May	20	18	3	1	0	0	
	June	20	18	3	1	0	0	
	July	20	18	3	1	0	0	
	August	20	18	2	1	0	0	
	September	20	18	3	1	0	0	
	October	20	18	2	1	0	0	
	November	20	18	0	1	0	0	
	December	20	18	1	1	0	0	
			* Equals lir	mit if limit is <=	10			
	Months of Discharge/	yr			12			
	Points per each exce	edance with 1	2 months of di	scharge:		7	3	
	Exceedances					0	0	
	Points					0	0	
	Total Number of Poin	ts					0	
	NOTE: For systems that discharge intermittently to waters of the state, the points per monthly exceedance for this section shall be based upon a multiplication factor of 12 months divided by the number of months of discharge. Example: For a wastewater facility discharging only 6 months of the year, the multiplication factor is 12/6 = 2.0							
2.	If any violations occurre	ed, what action	n was taken to	regain compli	ance?			

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	А

Facility Name: Hilbert Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: 6/4/2011

Reporting Year: 2010

Effluent Quality and Plant Performance (Ammonia = NH3)

Questions 1. Monthly and weekly average effluent values, exceedances, and points for NH3:

Outfall No.001	Monthly Average NH3 LIMIT (mg/L)	Weekly Average NH3 LIMIT (mg/L)	Effluent Monthly Average NH3 (mg/L)	Monthly Permit Limit Exceeda nce	Effluent Weekly Average for Week 1	Effluent Weekly Average for Week 2	Effluent Weekly Average for Week 3	Effluent Weekly Average for Week 4	Weekly Permit Limit Exceeda nce
January	12		0.1	0					
February	12		0.1	0					
March	12		0.1	0					
April	3.1		0.1	0					
May	3.1		0.0	0					
June	3.1		0.6	0					
July	3.1		0.0	0					
August	3.1		0.1	0					
September	3.1		0.1	0					
October	3.1		0.1	0					
November	12		0.0	0					
December	12		0.0	0					

Points per each exceedance of monthly average:	10
Exceedances, Monthly:	0
Points:	0
Points per each exceedance of weekly average(when there is no monthly average):	2.5
Exceedances, Weekly:	0
Points:	0
Total Number of Points:	0

Note: Limit exceedances are considered for monthly OR weekly averages but not both. When a monthly average limit exists it will be used to detect exceedances and generate points. This will be true even if a weekly limit also exists. When a weekly average limit exists and a monthly limit does not exist, the weekly limit will be used to detect exceedances and generate points.

Fac	ility Name: Hilbert Wastewater Treatment Facility	Last Updated: 6/4/2011	Reporting Year: 2010
	ent Quality and Plant Performance (Ammonia = NH3) (Conti	nued)	
	If any violations occurred, what action was taken to regain c		

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	А

Facility Name: Hilbert Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: 6/8/2011

Reporting Year: 2010

Effluent Quality and Plant Performance (Phosphorus)

		Que	stions						
1.	Monthly average effluent va	lues, exceedances,	and points for Phos	phorus:					
	Outfall No.001	Monthly Average phosphorus Limit (mg/L)	Effluent Monthly Average phosphorus (mg/L)	Months of Discharge with a Limit	Permit Limit Exceedance				
	January	1	0.5	1	0				
	February	1	0.5	1	0				
	March	1	0.8	1	0				
	April	1	0.6	1	0				
	May	1	0.7	1	0				
	June	1	0.7	1	0				
	July	1	1.0	1	1				
	August	1	0.8	1	0				
	September	1	0.8	1	0				
	October	1	0.9	1	0				
	November	1	0.6	1	0				
	December	1	0.3	1	0				
	Months of Discharge/yr			12					
	Points per each exceedand	ce with 12 months o	f discharge:		10				
	Exceedances				1				
	Total Number of Points				10				
2.	NOTE: For systems that discharge intermittently to waters of the state, the points per monthly exceedance for this section shall be based upon a multiplication factor of 12 months divided by the number of months of discharge. Example: For a wastewater facility discharging only 6 months of the year, the multiplication factor is 12/6 = 2.0 If any violations occurred, what action was taken to regain compliance? I did't realize that this was a violation, because the average was 1.0075 mg/l for the month.								

Total Points Generated	10
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	90
Section Grade	В

Facility Name: Hilbert Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting Year: 2010
5/21/2011

Biosolids Quality and Management

	Questions	Points
1.	Biosolids Use/Disposal:	
	1.1 How did you use or dispose of your biosolids?(Check all that apply) Land Applied Under Your Permit Publicly Distributed Exceptional Quality Biosolids Hauled to Another Permitted Facility Landfilled Incinerated Other NOTE:If you do not remove biosolids from your system annually, please describe your system type such as lagoons, reed beds, recirculating sand filters, etc, and if biosolids were land applied last year, please also check top box above.	
	1.1.1 If you checked Other, Please describe:	
2.	Land Application Site:	
	Last Year's Approved and Active Land Application Sites 2.1.1 How many acres did you have? 2.1.2 How many acres did you use? 2.3 Did you overapply nitrogen on any of your approved land application sites you used last year? O Yes(30 points) No 2.4 Have all the sites you used last year for land application been soil tested in the previous	Ü
	4 years?	0
	YesNo (10 points)N/A	
3.	Biosolids Metals	
	Number of biosolids outfalls in your WPDES permit = 2 3.1 For each outfall tested, verify the biosolids metal quality values for your facility during the last calendar year	
	BIOSOLIDS METALS CHARACTERISTICS	
Outfall:	:003 - Liquid Sludge	

Facility Name: Hilbert Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting Year: 2010
5/21/2011

Biosolids Quality and Management (Continued)

Parameter	80% of Limit	H.Q. Limit		mg/k	mg/kg on a dry weight basis										Times Exceeded			
				Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	80% Value	High Quality	Ceiling
arsenic		41	75								16						0	0
cadmium		39	85								<2						0	0
copper		1500	4300								490						0	0
lead		300	840								77						0	0
mercury		17	57								2						0	0
molybdenum	60		75								6.5					0		0
nickel	336		420								14					0		0
selenium	80		100								4.8					0		0
zinc		2800	7500								633						0	0

Outfall:004 - Cake Sludge

Parameter	80% of Limit	H.Q. Limit	Ceiling Limit	mg/kg on a dry weight basis										Times Exceeded				
				Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	80% Value	High Quality	Ceiling
arsenic		41	75								16						0	0
cadmium		39	85								<2						0	0
copper		1500	4300								490						0	0
lead		300	840								77						0	0
mercury		17	57								2						0	0
molybdenum	60		75								6.5					0		0
nickel	336		420								14					0		0
selenium	80		100								4.8					0		0
zinc		2800	7500								633						0	0

3.1.1 Number of times any of the metals exceeded the high quality limits OR 80% of the limit for molybdenum, nickel or selenium = 0

0

Exceedance Points									
•	0	0 Points							
0	1-2	10 Points							
0	> 2	15 Points							

- **3.1.2** If you exceeded the high quality limits, did you cumulatively track the metals loadings at each land application site? (check applicable box)
 - O Yes
 - O No (10 points)
 - NA. Did not exceed limits or no HQ limit applies (0 points)

Facility Name: Hilbert Wastewater Treatment Facility Last Updated: Reporting Year: 2010 5/21/2011 Biosolids Quality and Management (Continued) 0 NA. Did not land apply biosolids until limit was met(0 points) **3.1.3** Number of times any of the metals exceeded the ceiling limits = 0 0 **Exceedance Points** 0 0 Points 1 10 Points 0 \bigcirc > 1 15 Points 3.1.4 Were biosolids land applied which exceeded the ceiling limit? 0 Yes(20 points) No (0 points) 3.1.5 If any metal limit (high quality or ceiling) was exceeded at any time, what action was taken? Has the source of the metals been identifed? Pathogen Control(per outfall): Outfall Number: 003 **Biosolids Class:** В Bacteria Type and Limit Sample Dates: 01/01/2010 - 12/31/2010 Density: 474628 Sample Concentratinor Amount: CFU/G TS **AEROB** Process: **Process Description:** Outfall Number: 003 В **Biosolids Class:** Bacteria Type and Limit F Sample Dates: 01/01/2010 - 12/31/2010 Density: 474628 Sample Concentratinor Amount: CFU/G TS Process: **AEROB** Process Description:

Facility Name: Hilbert Wastewater Treatment Facility Last Updated: Reporting Year: 2010 5/21/2011

Biosolids Quality and Management (Continued) 4.1 If exceeded Class B limit or did not meet the process criteria at the time of land application(40 Points) 4.1.1 Was the limit exceeded or the process criteria not met at any time? 0 Yes No If yes, what action was taken? 5. Vector Attraction Reduction(per outfall):0 Outfall Number: Method Date: Option Used To Satisfy Requirement: Limit (if applicable): Results (if applicable): 5.1 If the limit or criteria was exceeded at the time of land application, 40 point 0 5.1.1 Was the limit exceeded or the process criteria not met at any time? σ Yes Nο If yes, what action was taken? 6. Biosolids Storage:0 **6.1** How many days of actual, current biosolids storage capacity did your wastewater treatment facility have either on-site or off-site? >+ 180 days (0 points) 0 150 - 179 days (10 points) 120 - 149 days (20 points) 0 90 - 119 days (30 points) 0 < 90 days (40 points) Not Applicable (0 points) 6.2 If you check Not Applicable above, explain why.

Facilit	y Name: Hilbert Wastewater Treatment Facility	Last Updated: 5/21/2011	Reporting	y Year: 2010
Biosoli	ds Quality and Management (Continued)			
7.	Issues:			
	7.1 Describe any outstanding biosolids issues with treatr	ment, use or overall mgt?		

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	А

Facility Name: Hilbert Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting Year: 2010 6/6/2011

Staffing and Preventative Maintenance (All Treatment Plants)

	Questions	Points
1.	Was your wastewater treatment plant adequately staffed last year?	
	Yes O No If No, please describe:	
	Could use more help/staff for:	
2.	Did your wastewater staff have adequate time to properly operate and maintain the plant and fulfill all wastewater management tasks including recordkeeping?	
	Yes No. Explain	
3.	Did your plant have a documented AND implemented plan for preventative maintenance on major equipment items?	0
	Yes (Continue with questions below) O No (40 points and go to question 6) If No, explain:	
4.	Did this preventative maintenance program depict frequency of intervals, types of lubrication, and other tasks necessary for each piece of equipment?	0
	YesNo (10 points)	
5.	Were these preventative maintenance tasks, as well as major equipment repairs, recorded and filed so future maintenance problems can be assessed properly?	0
	 Yes (Paper file system) (Computer program) (Both Paper and Computer) No (10 points) 	
6.	Did your plant have a detailed O&M Manual that was used as a reference when needed?	
	YesNo	
7.	Rate the overall maintenance of your wastewater plant.	
	O Excellent	

Facility Name	e: Hilbe	rt Wastewater Treatment Facility	Last Updated: 6/6/2011	Reporting Year: 2010
Staffing and P	reventa	tive Maintenance (All Treatment Plants) (C	ontinued)	
	• 0 0 0	Very Good Good Fair Poor		
Descr	ibe your Our pla to be.	rating: nt is getting older and it needs more care.	We are repairing things as t	hey need

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	Α

Facility Name: Hilbert Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting Year: 2010 6/6/2011

Operator Certification and Education

		Questions	Points
1.	Did you have a d	esignated operator-in-charge during the report year?	0
	_	Yes (0 point) No (20 points) CHARLES A FOCHS 18214	
2.	and subclass(es)	th Chapter NR 114.08 and 114.09, Wisconsin Administrative Code, what grade were required for the operator-in-charge to operate the wastewater treatment rade and subclass(es) were held by the operator-in-charge?	
	Required:	3 - CIJ; C - ACTIVATED SLUDGE; I - PHOSPHORUS REMOVAL; J - LABORATORY	
	Held:	3 - CIJ; 2 - DH; 1 - E; 3 - C=ACTIVATED SLUDGE GRADE 3; I=PHOSPHORUS REMOVAL GRADE 3; J=LABORATORY GRADE 3; 2 - D=PONDS/AEREATED LAGOONS GRADE 2; H=FILTRATION GRADE 2; 1 - E=DISINFECTION GRADE 1	
3.	Was the operator	r-in-charge certified at the appropriate level to operate this plant?	0
	_	Yes (0 point) No (20 points)	
4.	ensure the contin	e loss of your designated operator-in-charge, did you have a contingency plan to used proper operation & maintenance of the plant that includes one or more of the (check all that apply):	0
	4.2 X 4.3 X 4.4 X 4.5 X	one or more additional certified operators on staff an arrangement with another certified operator an arrangement with another community with a certified operator an operator on staff who has an operator-in-training certificate for your plant and is expected be certified within one year a consultant to serve as your certified operator None of the above (20 points) We are currently working to achieve certification for our current operator in addition to our Director of Public Works. We also have a certified operator through our engineering firm that can step in if needed.	

Facility Name: Hilbert Wastewater Treatment Facility Last Updated: Reporting Year: 2010 6/6/2011 Operator Certification and Education (Continued) If you had a designated operator-in-charge, was the operator-in-charge earning continuing education credits at the following rates? Grades T, 1, and 2: 0 Averaging 6 or more CEUs per year 0 Averaging less than 6 CEUs per year Grades 3 and 4: Averaging 8 or more CEUs per year 0 Averaging less than 8 CEUs per year Not applicable: 0 See Question 1.

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	А

Facility Name: Hilbert Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting Year: 2010 6/6/2011

Financial Management

		Questions	Points	
1.	Person Providing This Financial Information			
	Name:	DENNIS DU PREY		
	Telephone:	(920) 853-3241		
	E-Mail Address(optional):	HILBERTCLERK@BUGNET.NET		
2.	Are User Charge or other Re treatment plant AND/OR coll	venues sufficient to cover O&M Expenses for your wastewater ection system?	0	
	Yes (0 poin	ts)		
	O No (40 poir If No, please explain:	its)		
	п то, рівазе вхріант.			
3.	When was the User Charge Year: 2010	System or other revenue source(s) last reviewed and/or revised?	0	
	• 0-2 years a	go (0 points)		
	O 3 or more years ago (20 points)			
	O Not Applica	ble (Private Facility)		
4.	Did you have a special accou financial resources available plant and/or collection syster	unt (e.g., CWFP required segregated Replacement Fund, etc.) or for repairing or replacing equipment for your wastewater treatment n?	0	
	Yes			
	O No (40 poir	its)		
	REPLACEMENT FUNDS(P	UBLIC MUNICIPAL FACILITIES SHALL COMPLETE QUESTION 5)		
5.	Equipment Replacement Fur	nds		
	5.1 When was the Equipmer Year: 2010	nt Replacement Fund last reviewed and/or revised?	0	
	● 1-2 years a	go (0 points)	1	
	_ '	years ago (20 points)		
		ble Explain:		
	5.2 What amount is in your F	Replacement Fund?		
		Equipment Replacement Fund Activity		
	5.2.1 Ending Balance Rep	ported on Last Year's CMAR: \$301,797.45		
		+ \$0.00 rned interest, audit correction, withdrawal of se making up previous shortfall, etc.)		
	5.2.3 Adjusted January 1			

Facility Name: Hilbert Wastewater Treatment Facility **Last Updated: Reporting Year: 2010** 6/6/2011 Financial Management (Continued) **5.2.4** Additions to Fund (e.g., portion of User Fee, earned interest, etc.) \$22,963.50 **5.2.5** Subtractions from Fund (e.g., equipment replacement, major repairs \$4,543.33 - use description box 5.2.5.1 below*.) 5.2.6 Ending Balance as of December 31st for CMAR Reporting Year \$320,217.62 (All Sources: This ending balance should include all Equipment Replacement Funds whether held in a bank account(s), certificate(s) of deposit, etc.) *5.2.5.1. Indicate adjustments, equipment purchases and/or major repairs from 5.2.5 above No adjustments made. Repairs consisted of repairs done to the pumps at the plant. 5.3 What amount should be in your replacement \$290.100.00 (If you had a CWFP loan, this amount was originally based on the Financial Assistance Agreement (FAA) and should be regularly updated as needed. Further calculation instructions and an example can be found by clicking the HELP option button.) 5.3.1 Is the Dec. 31 Ending Balance in your Replacement Fund above (#5.2.6) egual to or greater than the amount that should be in it(#5.3)? Yes 0 No Explain: Future Planning 6. 6.1 During the next ten years, will you be involved in formal planning for upgrading, rehabilitating or new construction of your treatment facility or collection system? Yes (If yes, please provide major project information, if not already listed below) 0 Approximate **Project Description Estimated Cost** Construction Year Relacing the existing interceptor sewer main with a larger \$2,500,000.00 2013 interceptor sewer main; Redoing the headworks on the plant; doing work on the back end of the plant for sludge handling. Financial Management General Comments:

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	А

Facility Name: Hilbert Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting Year: 2010 6/8/2011

Sanitary Sewer Collection Systems

		Questions	Points
1.	Do you h WPDES	ave a Capacity, Management, Operation & Maintenance(CMOM) requirement in your permit?	
		YesNo	
2.		nave a <u>documented</u> (written records/files, computer files, video tapes, etc.) sanitary sewer system operation & maintenance or CMOM program last calendar year?	0
		Yes (go to question 3)No (30 points) (go to question 4)	
3.	Check the CMOM p	e elements listed below that are included in your Operation and Maintenance (O&M) or rogram.:	
		Goals: Describe the specific goals you have for your collection system: We are conducting inspections of all manholes in the sewer system. We are also instituting a program where we will conduct inspections of the sewer mains to search for infiltration areas. We will budget "x" amount of dollars to conduct these inspections. If problem areas are found, steps will be taken to fix the problems to help alleviate infiltration. Organization: Do you have the following written organizational elements (check only	
		those that you have): Ownership and governing body description Organizational chart Personnel and position descriptions Internal communication procedures Public information and education program	
		Legal Authority: Do you have the legal authority for the following (check only those that apply): Sewer use ordinance Last Revised MM/DD/YYYY 11/1/2003 Pretreatment/Industrial control Programs Fat, Oil and Grease control Illicit discharges (commercial, industrial) Private property clear water (sump pumps, roof or foundation drains, etc) Private lateral inspections/repairs Service and management agreements	
		Maintenance Activities: details in Question 4 Design and Performance Provisions: How do you ensure that your sewer system is designed and constructed properly? State plumbing code DNR NR 110 standards Local municipal code requirements Construction, inspection and testing Others:	

Facility Name: Hilbert Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting Year: 2010 6/8/2011

Sanıtar	y Sewer C	Collection Systems (Continued)		
	Overflow Emergency Response Plan: Does your emergency response capability include (check only those that you have):			
	Alarm system and routine testing			
		Emergency equipment		
		Emergency procedures		
		Communications/Notifications (DNR, Internal, Public, Media etc)		
	\boxtimes	Capacity Assurance: How well do you know your sewer system? Do you have the		
	الخظ	following?		
		Current and up-to-date sewer map		
		Sewer system plans and specifications		
		Manhole location map		
		Lift station pump and wet well capacity information		
		Lift station O&M manuals		
		Within your sewer system have you identified the following?		
		Areas with flat sewers		
		Areas with surcharging		
		Areas with bottlenecks or constrictions		
		Areas with chronic basement backups or SSO's		
		Areas with excess debris, solids or grease accumulation		
		Areas with heavy root growth		
		Areas with excessive infiltration/inflow (I/I)		
		Sewers with severe defects that affect flow capacity		
		Adequacy of capacity for new connections		
		Lift station capacity and/or pumping problems		
	Annual Self-Auditing of your O&M/CMOM Program to ensure above components are			
		being implemented, evaluated, and re-prioritized as needed.		
		Special Studies Last Year(check only if applicable):		
		Infiltration/Inflow (I/I) Analysis		
		Sewer System Evaluation Survey (SSES)		
		Sewer Evaluation and Capacity Managment Plan (SECAP)		
		Lift Station Evaluation Report		
		Others:		
4.	Did your s	sanitary sewer collection system maintenance program include the following		
		ince activities? Complete all that apply and indicate the amount maintained:		
	Cleaning	95 % of system/year		
	Oleaning	70 or system/year		
	Root Rem	moval 0 % of system/year		
	Koot Ken	moval 0 % of system/year		
	Flow Mon	nitoring 0 % of system/year		
		, and the second		
	0	To the second se		
	Smoke Te	esting 0 % of system/year		
	Sewer Lir	ine Televising 20 % of system/year		

Last Updated:

Facility Name: Hilbert Wastewater Treatment Facility

Reporting Year: 2010

6/8/2011 Sanitary Sewer Collection Systems (Continued) Manhole Inspections 100 % of system/year Lift Station O&M 0 # per L.S/year Manhole Rehabilitation 5 % of manholes rehabed Mainline Rehabilitation % of sewer lines rehabed 2 **Private Sewer Inspections** % of system/year Private Sewer I/I Removal % of private services Please include additional comments about your sanitary sewer collection system below: 5. Provide the following collection system and flow information for the past year: 36.42 Total Actual Amount of Precipitation Last Year 29.65 Annual Average Precipitation (for your location) 10.8 Miles of Sanitary Sewer 0 Number of Lift Stations 0 Number of Lift Station Failure 0 Number of Sewer Pipe Failures 0 Number of Basement Backup Occurrences 0 Number of Complaints Average Daily Flow in MGD Peak Monthly Flow in MGD(if available)

Facility Name: Hilbert Wastewater Treatment Facility	Last Updated: 6/8/2011	Reporting Year: 2010
Sanitary Sewer Collection Systems (Continued)		
Peak Hourly Flow in MGD(if available)		

Facility Name: Hilbert Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting Year: 2010 6/8/2011

Sanitary Sewer Collection Systems (Continued) NUMBER OF SANITARY SEWER OVERFLOWS (SSO) REPORTED (10 POINTS PER OCCURRENCE) 20 Date Location Cause Estimated Volume (MG) 07/22/2010 Corner of Lynwood and Sienna Ct. Rain 0.139 5:00:00 PM to 07/22/2010 9:00:00 PM 07/24/2010 Rain 0.3705 Lynnwood & Sienna Court 5:30:00 PM to 07/24/2010 11:00:00 AM Were there SSOs that occurred last year that are not listed above? 0 Yes No If Yes, list the SSOs that occurred: PERFORMANCE INDICATORS 0.00 Lift Station Failures(failures/ps/year) 0.00 Sewer Pipe Failures(pipe failures/sewer mile/yr) 0.19 Sanitary Sewer Overflows (number/sewer mile/yr) 0.00 Basement Backups(number/sewer mile) 0.00 Complaints (number/sewer mile) Peaking Factor Ratio (Peak Monthly: Annual Daily Average) Peaking Factor Ratio(Peak Hourly:Annual daily Average) Was infiltration/inflow(I/I) significant in your community last year? 6. 0 Yes No If Yes, please describe: Has infiltration/inflow and resultant high flows affected performance or created problems in your collection system, lift stations, or treatment plant at any time in the past year? 0 Yes No

If Yes, please describe:

Facili	ty Name: Hilbert Wastewater Treatment Facility	Last Updated: 6/8/2011	Reporting Year: 2010
Sanita	ry Sewer Collection Systems (Continued)		
8.	Explain any infiltration/inflow(I/I) changes this year from pr	evious years?	
	We are going install gaskets for the manhole covers. We manhole rings as needed. We are also budgeting "x" am program of inspecting sewer mains to check for issues w found, repairs for these areas will be planned and execu	nount of funds per year to be vith infiltration. If problem ar	egin a
9.	What is being done to address infiltration/inflow in your co	llection system?	
	Sealing manhole covers, manhole rings and conducting for any i/i in the joints.	inspections on sewer mains	s to search

Total Points Generated	20
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	80
Section Grade	С

Facility Name: Hilbert Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated:

Reporting Year: 2010

WPDES No.0021270

GRADING SUMMARY				
SECTION	LETTER GRADE	GRADE POINTS	WEIGHTING FACTORS	SECTION POINTS
Influent Loadings	А	4.0	3	12
Effluent Quality:BOD	А	4.0	10	40
Effluent Quality:TSS	А	4.0	5	20
Effluent Quality:Ammonia	А	4.0	5	20
Effluent Quality:P	В	3.0	3	9
Biosolids Mgt.	А	4.0	5	20
Prev.Maintenance.Staffing	А	4.0	1	4
Operator Certification	А	4.0	1	4
Financial Management	А	4.0	1	4
Collection Systems	С	2.0	3	6
TOTALS			37	139
GRADE POINT AVERAGE(GPA)=3.76		3.76		

Notes:

A = Voluntary Range

B = Voluntary Range

C = Recommendation Range (Response Required)

D = Action Range (Response Required)

F = Action Range (Response Required)

Facility Name: Hilbert Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting Year: 2010 6/15/2011

Resolution or Owner's Statement

NAME OF GOVERNING BODY OR OWNER	DATE OF RESOLUTION OR ACTION TAKEN
Village of Hilbert	06/14/2011
RESOLUTION NUMBER	
0044 00	

2011_02

ACTIONS SET FORTH BY THE GOVERNING BODY OR OWNER RELATING TO SPECIFIC CMAR SECTIONS (Optional for grade A or B, required for grade C, D, or F):

Influent Flow and Loadings: Grade=A

Effluent Quality: BOD: Grade=A

Effluent Quality: TSS: Grade=A

Effluent Quality: Ammonia: Grade=A

Effluent Quality: Phosphorus: Grade=B

Biosolids Quality and Management: Grade=A

Staffing: Grade=A

Operator Certification: Grade=A

The village will continue to support the efforts of plant personnel to achieve and maintain the necessary certifications.

Financial Management: Grade=A

Collection Systems: Grade=C

The village will continue to sealing up manhole covers, pick holes, manhole rings. We also have budgeted funds for 2011 to begin a village wide inspection of all sewer lines to find out where the major infiltration areas are. We will address the major issues by making necessary repairs where there is infiltration.

ACTIONS SET FORTH BY THE GOVERNING BODY OR OWNER RELATING TO THE OVERALL GRADE POINT AVERAGE AND ANY GENERAL COMMENTS (Optional for G.P.A. greater than or equal to 3.00, required for G.P.A. less than 3.00) **G.P.A. = 3.76**