

President Mark Breckheimer called the regular meeting of the village board to order at 7 p.m. on Tuesday, March 14, 2017.

Roll Call – all members reported present. Others present were Todd Thiel, Missy Kieso, Mike Loose, Steve Mayer, manager of the mobile home park, Mary Schwalenberg, Karl Propson, Attorney Tim Halbach representing Todd Thiel, Robert Davidovich, Ed Bryne from the Brillion News and Charlie Fochs.

Pledge of Allegiance – The pledge of allegiance was recited by everyone present.

Citizen Questions and Concerns to be acted on at the next board meeting – none.

Announcements – The Economic Development Committee meeting is scheduled for 3/15/17 at 6 p.m. The spring election is 4/4/17. The April board meeting is 4/18/17. The village wide rummage sale is scheduled for April 27 – April 29th. Spring Clean is scheduled for May 6th from 8 a.m. – 11 a.m. There will be a tire recycling event being held at Officials Den on April 22nd from 8 a.m. – noon. Annual Open Book is 4/19/17 from 4 p.m. – 6 p.m. Board of Review is May 17th at 7 p.m.

Minutes – Action – to approve the board meeting minutes as presented – motion: Koffarnus; second: Roehrig; carried. The fire department minutes were presented to the board. Action – to accept the fire department minutes and place them on file – motion: Piepenburg; second: Starfeld; carried. The first responder minutes was presented to the board. Action – to accept the first responder minutes and to place them on file – motion: Koffarnus; second: Keuler; carried.

Treasurer's Report for the Village of Hilbert - balances – \$229,121.05 General fund; \$561,256.72 Sewer fund; \$184,477.57 Water fund; \$3.00 Clean Water fund; (\$39,434.74) TID #1 fund; \$976,945.22 T-Plus fund; \$12312.20 First Responder fund; (\$311,214.72) TID #2, \$473,764.67 Capital Improvements Fund.

Action – to approve the treasurer's report as presented – motion: Koffarnus; second: Starfeld; carried.

The fire department treasurer's report was presented to the board. Action – to approve the fire department treasurer's report as presented – motion: Koffarnus; second: Starfeld; carried. The treasurer's report for the first responders was presented to the board. Action – to approve the first responder's treasurer's report as presented – motion: Koffarnus; second: Starfeld; carried.

Claims - examined and discussed. Action – to pay all claims – motion: Starfeld; second: Piepenburg; carried.

Correspondence – The MEG Newsletter was presented to the board for their information. There were no Time Warner Cable letters received. The Focus newsletters were presented to the board for their information. There was no Boardman & Clark newsletter received. A letter was received from the Eastshore Humane Association regarding their finances and desire to do a contract. DuPrey stated that we have donated \$250 to the Eastshore Humane Association – motion: Koffarnus; second: Nolan; carried. A letter from the Town of Harrison regarding changing the municipality name on their address to Harrison instead of several other ones that they currently have including Hilbert. This was discussed briefly but the board felt that any opposition to this would not have an effect on it not being approved. DuPrey read a Thank You card from the family of Bob Seidl.

Reports - The report of labor hours was presented to the board for their information. The monthly report on building permits was presented to the board for their information. The report of 2016 payroll was presented to the board for their information.

Unfinished Business:

2017 Projects – nothing new.

Recycling/Rubbish – The monthly report was presented to the board for their information.

Police Protection for the village – The monthly report was presented to the board for their information.

Fire Department – A letter from the Dept. of Safety and Professional Services was presented giving a clean opinion of an audit of our 2% fire dues. Mike Loose reported that was 10 fire calls since the last meeting. He stated that the department received a \$1,000 donation from Advanced Disposal. He also reported that they had one new fireman join the department.

TID District #1 – nothing new.

TID District #2 – nothing new.

Wastewater Treatment Plant – Interceptor Sewer Main Replacement Project – nothing new. WWTP Upgrades – nothing new. Hilbert Acres Mobile Home Park – Steve Mayer reported to the board that they are asking corporate for an increase in the budget to \$1,500 per month instead of \$1,500 per quarter. Piepenburg felt that the village should receive monthly updates. He stated that it doesn't have to be in person each month and could be in writing. The board asked that he provide monthly written updates on the progress of work being completed and appear each quarter. The consensus of the board is that they want to see some measurable action being undertaken on addressing the infiltration issues.

Schneider Property – DuPrey reported on the progress of the Schneider purchase. The CSM is being worked on and finalized. The goal is still to close by the end of March. The board then discussed the setting of the allowable acres to farm in 2017. With the anticipated purchase, we wanted to be proactive on getting this approved. Action – to set the allowable acres for farming at 50 acres provided we complete the purchase of the property – motion: Koffarnus; second: Starfeld; carried. Next, the board reviewed Resolution 2017-01 which would put any proceeds received from land rent on the land being purchased from the Schneider's into a reserve fund for offsetting future infrastructure costs. Action – to approve Resolution 2017-01 as presented – motion: Koffarnus; second: Roehrig; Roll Call Vote – yes: Piepenburg, Koffarnus, Roehrig, Nolan, Keuler, Starfeld, Breckheimer; carried. The board then

discussed the loan for the purchase. There were 3 proposals presented. DuPrey stated that both the State Bank of Chilton and Collins State Bank can beat the rates from the State Trust Fund Loan program. The rates were slightly less with the State Bank of Chilton but DuPrey stated that he would prefer to go with Collins State Bank because we are trying to build a business relationship with them and they have expressed interest regarding locating a branch here. DuPrey stated that hopefully if we commit to them, they would commit a branch here. Keuler questioned how much the difference was and DuPrey stated that he did not have that figure because the proposals came in so late. Action – to approve the loan for the purchase of the Schneider land with Collins State Bank as proposed – motion: Koffarnus; second: Piepenburg; carried.

736 W. Main St. Property – The board took up another long discussion regarding providing funding incentive for this project. There was information presented at a previous meeting that the board has since had some time to digest. One of the issues brought up was the "Public Purpose" doctrine. Todd Thiel asked his attorney, Tim Halbach, to be here to discuss this with the board. The village's attorney has concerns that this incentive would satisfy the "Public Purpose" doctrine. Tim Halbach informed the village board that there has never been a state court that has invalidated a public purpose document case. He stated that anyone can come forward to challenge the "Public Purpose" doctrine. He stated that there have been no other projects similar to Todd's project. There was more discussion regarding this and Todd argued the need for the project and this is what the people expressed they wanted to see in the village for goods and services based upon a survey sent out by the village. Breckheimer thanked Tim Halbach for his opinion but the board needs to remember that he is representing Todd and not the village. Todd Thiel stated that he is investing \$2,000,000 for the project and wants the village to invest \$500,000 + and proposed that it is paid over time at an amount of \$20,000 per year for 25 years using the village's share of the taxes that they pay for the properties located in TID #1. He stated that this would not affect the village's budget because they already do not receive those tax dollars. DuPrey explained the TID's and what happens when they terminate. He stated that with the current levy limits that we are under, we can only raise tax dollars by a % of net growth. When a TID terminates, we can take ½ of that growth % that was in the TID to increase the tax levy. For example, if the TID has a % increase of 15%, we can raise our levy by 7.5%. We are not allowed the full benefit of the increment. Using the current values, we would be able to raise our levy by about \$9,500 but would need to pay out \$20,000 so we would have to make up a shortfall of \$11,000 per year for 25 years. During this long discussion, Breckheimer asked the board members to bring forth a motion if they wished to proceed. After asking several times, there finally was one made. Action – to proceed with putting in \$'s for Todd's Project – motion: Roehrig; second: Nolan; Roll Call Vote – yes: Koffarnus, Roehrig, Nolan, Keuler; no: Piepenburg, Starfeld, Breckheimer; carried by majority vote. The board then held more lengthy discussions regarding coming up with a dollar amount to invest. This went on for quite some time. Todd Thiel now proposed that he is willing to drop the \$500,000 initial request to \$250,000 and the village would also apply for the grant and if awarded then this grant award would be in addition to the \$250,000. If we look at this project and it not being in a TID, then we only have the \$'s from the village's share of the increase in valuation. This would amount to about \$2,200 per year or about \$68,000 over 20 years. Todd Thiel stated that this was not adequate and he was very firm on the \$250,000. Breckheimer asked several times for a board member to entertain a motion on the amount. No one would come forward. Todd Thiel stated that time is of the essence and he needs approval tonight. He stated that if it is tabled, it will kill it. He stated that he wants to start in May and it would be finished by September. Again, Breckheimer asked several more times for a board member to introduce a motion to proceed. Again there was none. The consensus of the board is that they would like to see it happen but they were having trouble with the amount he was requesting. There was discussion that there could be other businesses that come forward if they approve such funding asking for funding for their businesses. Nolan stated that no one is knocking our doors down and feels that it would be a great asset to the community. Our attorney gave an opinion and examples of an incentive program that would provide "x" amount of dollars per year to improve the façade of their buildings. They have to meet certain requirements and everyone would be treated equally and have the same opportunity to apply for the funding. Breckheimer asked one last time for a board member to entertain a motion to proceed with funding for this project or he was going to move on. There was no motion received. Breckheimer then stated that it was being tabled and would be put on the agenda for next month. Todd Thiel commented at that time that there is no need to put it on the agenda and that it was dead.

New Business:

Application for operator licenses – none received.

Classes/Seminars/Schooling for employees – nothing new.

Village Economic Development Plan – nothing new.

Park & Recreation Committee – Action – to accept the committee meeting minutes and to place them on file – motion: Keuler; second: Starfeld; carried. The first recommendation was to approve the renewal of the contract with the high school to allow baseball and softball games at the ballfield. The terms would remain the same. Action – to approve this recommendation – motion: Piepenburg; second; Roehrig; carried. No further action needed. The next item was amending the equipment use policy. The issue is allowing the athletic club members to operate the field conditioner so that they can work the ballfields on the weekends if needed and we save on overtime. The current policy is that you have to be a village

employee to use the equipment. Action – to approve the equipment use policy change to allow for the athletic club members to operate the field conditioner to drag the ballfields on the weekends if needed – motion: Keuler; second: Roehrig; carried. The next recommendation was to approve a field maintenance agreement with the athletic club to more clearly spell out who is responsible for what. The proposed agreement was presented to the board. The athletic club has okayed it as far as what is being proposed. Action – to approve this recommendation – motion: Starfeld; second: Roehrig; carried. No further action is needed. The next recommendation was to approve the installation of the fence on the turned ballfield and to pay up to \$23,500 of the cost with anything above it the responsibility of the athletic club. Action – to approve this recommendation – motion: Keuler; second: Starfeld; carried. No further action was needed.

Request from Revitalization Group to hold the Annual Cheesehead Run and block off Main St – The request letter was presented to the board. The event is scheduled to be held on August 19th. Action – to approve the request as presented – motion: Koffarnus; second: Piepenburg; carried.

Village Board member Informational Report – none.

Village Employee Informational Report – none.

President's Report – Breckheimer thank Tom Piepenburg for being on the board. He also stated that he talked with a representative from Subway and they left because the lease agreement that they had with the building owner wasn't financially fair. They informed him that they are open to opening back up in an alternate location if one is available.

The board then convened into closed session under Section 19.85(1)(c) of the Wisconsin Statutes to conduct an employee review of a public employee. Action – to convene into closed session – motion: Keuler; second: Starfeld; Roll Call Vote – yes: Piepenburg, Koffarnus, Roehrig, Nolan, Keuler, Starfeld, Breckheimer; carried.

The board then reconvened back into open session. Action – to reconvene back into open session – motion: Piepenburg; second: Starfeld; Roll Call Vote – yes: Piepenburg, Koffarnus, Roehrig, Nolan, Keuler, Starfeld, Breckheimer; carried.

Action – To increase the hourly rate for Jeremy Baumgart to \$19.75 per hour effective with the beginning of the next pay period – motion: Piepenburg; second: Roehrig; carried.

The board then reconvened into closed session under Section 19.85(1)(e) of the Wisconsin Statutes to discuss the possibility of pursuing the purchase of public property. Action – to reconvene back into closed session – motion: Starfeld; second: Keuler; Roll Call Vote – yes: Piepenburg, Koffarnus, Roehrig, Nolan, Keuler, Starfeld, Breckheimer; carried.

The board then reconvened back into open session. Action – to reconvene back into open session – motion: Starfeld; second: Piepenburg; Roll Call Vote – yes: Piepenburg, Koffarnus, Roehrig, Nolan, Keuler, Starfeld, Breckheimer; carried.

There was no action from the closed session.

Adjournment – Action – to adjourn – motion: Starfeld; second: Piepenburg; carried. The meeting was adjourned at 9:15 p.m.

Dennis DuPrey

Dennis DuPrey, Clerk

President Mark Breckheimer called the special meeting of the village board to order at 6 p.m. on Wednesday, March 29, 2017.

Roll Call – all members reported present except Piepenburg. Others present were Laura Hoerth and Damien Hoerth from the State Bank of Chilton, Ed Byrne from the Brillion News and Todd Thiel.

Pledge of Allegiance – The pledge of allegiance was recited by everyone present.

Citizen Questions and Concerns to be acted on at the next board meeting – none.

Announcements – Breckheimer informed the board that they have now started hauling the PCB's again so the truck traffic will substantially increase on the highway.

President's Report – none.

Unfinished Business:

Discussion and possible action regarding extending the deadline to close on the purchase of the land from Schneider Farms – DuPrey explained that Schneider's have been unable to remove the land in time for the current closing deadline of 3/31/17. It is proposed to extend it another 60 days. He stated that the CSM has been completed and Twohig Law is recording it. Schneider's have signed off on the extension already. Action – to approve Contract Amendment #2 for the Schneider Land Purchase – motion: Starfeld; second: Keuler; carried.

Discussion and possible action to reconsider previous approval of loan for the Schneider Land Purchase and Discussion and possible action regarding main depository for the village – The Hoerth's thanked the board for taking a second look at the loan and deposits. Koffarnus asked if he had to make the motion to reconsider. DuPrey asked if he could address the board prior to them taking up a vote. He read a prepared statement. It is as follows:

"I have the following comments regarding your discussion tonight regarding reconsidering the loan previously approved just two weeks ago and reconsideration of moving all of our deposits to another financial institution:

Each year there is a resolution is passed that allows me to use any of the financial institutions on the list as a public depository of the Village of Hilbert.

When Huntington National Bank announced they were closing, we pursued several financial institutions to try to see if there would be interest in any of them opening a branch in the Village of Hilbert. The goal of the village board at that time was to try our best to reach out and locate a new financial institution into our village to provide our residents with this valuable amenity.

From the mass mailing that the village undertook, there were two financial institutions that have expressed strong interest in locating a branch in the village. They were Collins State Bank and Premier Financial Credit Union. Currently, from what the board was told at the March 14th board meeting from Todd Thiel, they both continue to have an interest in locating a branch in the village. As a note, this was told to the board after I had made the decision to move the deposits to Collins State Bank.

When it was clear that there would be no one in place by the time that Huntington National Bank ceased operations in the village, I met with both Premier Financial Credit Union and Collins State Bank to review their services. The goal with meeting with just the two of them at this time and why I did not seek proposals from other financial institutions was that if the village made a commitment to one of them, then they might look longer and deeper in locating a branch here and making a commitment to our community. I did meet with a few other financial institutions with such as State Bank of Chilton, Wisconsin Bank and Trust, Denmark State Bank and Hometown Bank and I expressed my feelings of first working with the financial institutions that have expressed interest and if there was no chance of any locating to the village then I would open it up to everyone. They all seemed to be fine and understood my thought process.

After meeting with Premier and Collins State Bank, I felt that Collins could best match my needs especially with the ACH transactions that we perform each month. This was the main reason that I pursued with moving to Collins and why I did not seek other proposals. If neither one had shown interest in the village, I would have definitely opened it up to others for consideration. I have already begun moving accounts to Collins and have new checks on hand and have undertaken the process of addressing the several ACH deposits and payments that are performed each month. This includes several vendors, the State of Wisconsin, the Federal Government and the ACH transactions for water and sewer bills to this new account.

When we approved the Offer to Purchase from Schneider Farms, I checked what the current rates were for the State Trust Fund Loan Program and did seek what Collins could do for an interest rate. On the day of the meeting where it was being discussed that we were looking at approving the loan with Collins State Bank, I received a call from Glen Calnin asking if they could provide us a proposal. I informed him that they could do this. They did submit a proposal at the end of the day and the rates were slightly less but I did not have time to calculate the exact dollar amount.

This brings us to tonight's meeting. There is an information packet regarding both the loans and the deposit rates if we were to move everything to the State Bank of Chilton. The calculations and summaries are listed for your review.

As elected officials you are entrusted with making a decision as to what is best for the village. I only ask that you consider the following:

- As Village officials, I know that many of you were saddened to hear that the bank was shutting its doors. Many of the residents and businesses used this branch for their banking and there definitely was a major convenience for all of our residents to have this bank here.
- The goal after receiving word that the bank was going to close was to reach out and do what we could to try to get another financial institution to locate a branch here. In this

search we discovered that probably the best match for the village was a locally owned financial institution instead of one that was regionally owned and much larger. The level of customer service is always better with the locally owned financial institutions instead of dealing with corporate offices outside this area.

- In the proposal from the State Bank of Chilton, their proposed loan rates and deposit rates are better than they are with Collins State Bank. They have also stated that they will reimburse us for the costs of ordering new checks and endorsements so that our cost of switching would be \$0. Also as part of that proposal, they are offering a \$10,000 "donation" to be used for our park facilities if we move ALL of our deposits to them and leave them on deposit for 6 months. When you say all accounts this would mean the village's checking and savings along with the account that we currently have at Verve along with the First Responder and Fire Department accounts because these are considered "village deposits". Then the resolution we passed last December is useless. I feel that the board needs to express caution when accepting this type of proposal and the perception that it can create with the residents of the village. They may be fine with it and they may not be fine with it. One of my favorite sayings that I have heard is "Perception is reality". I feel that this needs to be applied here also.

As I stated at the last board meeting, you are elected to this village board to represent all 1,200 residents of this community and to make decisions as you see fit for the betterment of the village as a whole. Your decisions should not be made to benefit only a single purpose nor a specific group of residents only. The question that you have to ask yourself is what is most important to for the village to have: higher earnings or a financial institution to locate in the village. I don't believe that we can have both higher earnings and a financial institution locate in the village. Because of who has and who has not expressed interest to locate a branch here would make this virtually impossible to have it both ways. I feel that thinking this can be accomplished is delusional. In fact, the two financial institutions that have expressed interest have had conversations among themselves regarding locating a branch here. They do not want to compete between each other because they are both aware that a community of our current size cannot support multiple financial institutions. It is clear that Collins State Bank and Premier Financial Credit Union have been the two that have expressed an ongoing interest to locate here.

If you feel that it is the best for the village in the long run to have a financial institution locate in the village, then you would vote to leave everything with Collins State Bank. Although there is no guarantees that Collins State Bank will locate here for sure, I have been informed by them that the village is a target area for growth for their financial institution.

If your goal is to get the best interest rates on loans and earnings on our deposits, then you need to table everything tonight and take a step back and request proposals from EVERYONE. The village would need to create a Request for Proposals and then we would send that request to everyone not only in the immediate area but also others outside our immediate area. Given today's technology, there are banks and credit unions that would provide us with a free check scanner and we could scan all of the checks and then they would be deposited. We could deposit the cash received locally and then electronically send that to any financial institution. Any loan that we would have can have the payments either sent by mail or electronically. Because of this, we can do banking with just about anyone and we should not tie ourselves strictly to the immediate geographical areas. In fact this morning, I received an email from Verve, A Credit Union offering up to meet with me to discuss what they could do for us. I think that it is important that we put everyone on the same playing field and this is why I feel that doing RFP's is the best way to go. The village puts the request out for proposals, they receive the proposals and then they approve one. After that time we move on. If the best proposal is the State Bank of Chilton, we go with them. If it is Collins State Bank then we go with them. If it is Verve, then we go with them. If it is anyone else, we go with them. We don't continue to reconsider this because someone who submitted an RFP didn't like the results and now says "Oh wait, I can now do better". Municipalities use RFP's on a regular basis and it allows the municipality to treat all proposals equally and removes the ambiguity and the "perception" that we are playing favorites with anyone. When Huntington made the decision to move out of the village, it opened it up for us to consider EVERYONE regardless of geographical location because the rates from FirstMerit and Huntington were lower than what I could have gotten pretty much anywhere but we continued to do our banking with them because they were located here. We were not going to stay with them either way in this situation because I can get better rates no matter which one the village would go with. I feel that we should not let the geographical area dictate where we bank if this is the route that we pursue.

I feel that I had to state this because I care about each and every one of our residents and I am not doing them a service by being silent. I am just concerned that today it is the banking and loan services. Tomorrow is it going to be the new piece of equipment? The new computer system? Are we going to have to check each and every one of our purchases and see if anyone has a known or unknown

connection to that company we are looking to purchase from to make sure that we give preferential treatment to that company? If we are going to reconsider the decisions previously made and don't open it up to EVERYONE AND I MEAN EVERYONE, then we are doing just that.

DuPrey thanked the board for the opportunity to speak and give his opinion. The Hoerth's indicated that they have been pretty tied down with the takeover of Calumet County Bank so they are right now not considering another expansion. DuPrey stated he feels that there is no chance for the State Bank of Chilton to ever open up a branch in Hilbert. He stated that with 4 existing branches all within 10 minutes of the village it wouldn't make sense for them to ever open one here. Starfeld stated that he felt that the "donation" was a bribe. Breckheimer questioned if that lower rate would apply to any other loan that they would maybe add to for another project if needed right now. They indicated that it would. Keuler stated that he felt that we need to grab money when we can because of the tight budgets. Action – to rescind the original motion to go with Collins State Bank for the loan for the purchase of the Schneider land – motion: Koffarnus; second: Nolan; Roll Call Vote – yes: Koffarnus, Roehrig, Nolan, Keuler, Starfeld, Breckheimer; carried. Action – to proceed with moving the deposits and approve the loan as proposed to the State Bank of Chilton – motion: Koffarnus; second: Keuler; Roll Call Vote – yes: Koffarnus, Roehrig, Nolan, Keuler, Starfeld, Breckheimer; carried.

Discussion and possible action regarding carpeting for the council room and hallway – DuPrey presented a price from Gegare Tile Inc for carpeting for the council room and hallway. DuPrey presented a sample and it is the same type of carpet that they installed in the school. It is squares so that if there is damage to one or more squares, they can just replace the necessary squares. Koffarnus and Starfeld questioned about getting a price from Superior Floor Covering. The board decided to table it until the next meeting and DuPrey was instructed to have Charlie Fochs get a price from Superior Floor Covering.

736 W. Main St. – This was brought up for discussion again. At the previous meeting there was no motion made to provide a \$ amount of incentive for the project and Breckheimer stated that it would be tabled but Todd Thiel indicated at that meeting not to bother but it is being brought forward again for more discussion and a possible motion to proceed. Breckheimer presented two separate offers that he indicated that he presented to Todd Thiel and he turned both of them down. One was for \$7,000 for 20 years and the other one was \$9,506 for 20 years but then the revitalization group would have to turn over ownership of the former elementary school property to the village at no cost. They then held another long discussion regarding this proposal again. Todd Thiel indicated that he wants a \$250,000 stand alone commitment from the village in today's dollars. In addition, the village would apply for a grant for up to \$250,000 from WEDEC. The village's investment would not be reduced if the grant is awarded. Todd Thiel stated that what he has proposed is payments of \$20,000+ over 25 years which would amount to over \$500,000 in total investment. The funds would come from tax levies from the properties that are in TID District #1 after that district terminates beginning in 2021. Todd Thiel presented an article from the City of Kaukauna where the city is investing TID dollars, the WEDEC grant and guaranteeing half of the loan to the property owner. He stated that this is exactly the same type of thing he is trying to do here. There was a long discussion regarding the difference in "today dollars" versus "future dollars". If the village would pay all of the funds up front, it would be \$250,000. If we are going to pay it over time, then it would be over \$500,000. Some board members were confused by this because they thought that they could pay say \$25,000 over 10 years and it would be \$250,000. Todd Thiel stated that this was not the case. The board discussed that if they would invest funds it would be better up front because it would be less. Todd Thiel indicated that he doesn't want the village to take all of it out of their fund balance because it would amount to over 1/3 being removed. He stated that he doesn't want to hurt the village financially. Todd Thiel was questioned about doing a developer agreement and having a clause in it that dealt with funds being returned if it did not stay operational. Todd Thiel stated that he is willing to do a developer agreement but they would have to discuss and talk about any terms or conditions. There were questions raised because at the last meeting, Todd Thiel was willing to accept \$200,000 but now it is a firm \$250,000. Todd Thiel stated that he wants a commitment from the village for \$250,000 in today's dollars and if it is paid over time, then he wants \$20,000+ over 25 years. Keuler questioned him about making a motion for funding for less and if he would accept it and Todd Thiel informed him not to even bother because if it is less than what he is proposing, he will not accept it. No motion was made at that time. The board indicated several times that they have a very hard time trying to put their hands around the amount of requested funding when the total increase in valuation will only be \$386,000. The board felt that it was a viable project but they didn't want to saddle the board with this commitment for the next 25 years. Roehrig questioned about charging an impact fee on lots when we develop the Schneider land area. He asked if this could be used to offset the investment here. He stated that they did do that in Sherwood where they charged an impact fee for each lot sold. DuPrey stated that it would not be able to be done here because rules are that the impact fee must be used for stuff like creating parks. He stated that is how Sherwood did their Wannick Park. They paid for the costs of this project mainly from the impact fees that they had generated previously. Breckheimer then asked the board several times for any one of them to make a motion to entertain the proposed offer or any other offer they wanted to bring forward. There was no motions made. After asking several times Breckheimer stated that he was going to ask one last time. Either someone needs to make a motion to entertain an incentive for this project or he was going to ask for a motion to adjourn the meeting. He stated that we have talked about this long

enough and it is time to either do something or move on. Again, no motion was made to proceed with any type of financial incentive for this project.

Adjournment – Action – to adjourn – motion: Starfeld; second: Keuler; carried. The meeting was adjourned at 7:52 p.m.

Dennis DuPrey

Dennis DuPrey, Clerk